

A Retrospective, Randomised Audit on the Appropriate Use of Coagulation Tests in the Accident and Emergency Department at The Princess Alexandra Hospital (PAH) NHS Trust. Joy Osagie Department of Haematology and Coagulation- © 2021



What research and people are saying

- Murphy et al 2015 revealed that 82.1% of the 369 coagulation tests were inappropriate¹.
- Gursoy et al. 2015; a prospective study on coagulation tests performed showed that **53.2%** were inappropriate².
- A number of these requests did not influence a change in treatment.
- It has been reported at some hospitals that coagulation tests are used inappropriately. At best, these reports have been anecdotal.

BACKGROUND

RESULTS

Coagulation tests are requested as part of Based on the RCEM 2018 recommendation **36** Accident & Emergency (AE) admission tests to **(18%) were found to be appropriate** and **73%**

support diagnosis. They comprise of Prothrombin Time (PT) test/International Normalised ratio (INR) and Activated partial Thromboplastin Time (APTT) test.

Aim

To evaluate the appropriateness of AE coagulation test requests at PAH.

DESIGN AND METHODLOGY

26,084 retrospective patients' coagulation tests requests spanning a period of one year (1st January to 31st December 2020) were retrieved from Laboratory Information Management System (LIMS) - TD synergy. Of these, 200 test requests were randomly selected and analysed using Microsoft Excel.

(146) of the test requests were inappropriate.

18 (9%) out of the 200 audited samples were **rejected** due to pre-analytical variables⁴.



Figure 1: Pie-chart showing appropriateness of PT/INR and APTT Tests ordered in AE Admission at PAH (including the pre-analytical variables identified in the study)

CONCLUSION

This study indicated the inappropriate use of coagulation screen as reported in literature and also at the Princess Alexandra Hospital NHS Trust.

The Royal College of Emergency Medicine (RCEM) 2018 recommendation³ was employed as the standard for appropriateness.

At the time of the study, there were no BSCH or NICE guideline covering coagulation test requests.



Inappropriate tests accounted for £1,045.36 (excluding the indirect costs to patients and other indirect costs) to the Trust. The cost per coagulation screen at PAH is £7.16.

Effectively implementing standards to guide coagulation test requests in AE could result in improving patient experience and direct cost savings- potentially £1,045.36 for every 200 requests.



- Murphy et.al. 2015 (PDF) A novel approach to improving coagulation sample ordering in an emergency department [Internet]. ResearchGate. 2020. Available from: (PDF) A novel approach to improving coagulation sample ordering in an emergency department (researchgate.net) [Accessed 25 October 2020]
- Gursoy et. al 2015: The impact of coagulation testing on patient management in the emergency department [Internet]. ResearchGate. 2020. Available from: (PDF) The impact of coagulation testing on patient management in the emergency department (researchgate.net) [Accessed 25 October 2020]
- Recommendations Choosing Wisely UK [Internet]. Choosing Wisely UK. 2020. Available from: <u>https://www.choosingwisely.co.uk/i-am-a-</u>
 - clinician/recommendations/#1572878789681-15fe4ba5-dd0e (Recommendations Choosing Wisely UK, 2021) [Accessed 17 October 2020]
- Gosselin, R. and Marlar, R., 2019. Preanalytical Variables in Coagulation Testing: Setting the Stage for Accurate Results. *Seminars in Thrombosis and Hemostasis*, 45(05), pp.433-448. (Gosselin, et. al 2019) [Accessed April 2021]