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INTRODUCTION

When serous samples such as pericardial, peritoneal or pleural fluids arrive heavily contaminated by blood it can be impossible to visualise the cells of importance and therefore without red blood cell removal may cause poorly cellular preparations, likely to be reported as false negative if not re-processed for blood removal. Blood removal from heavily bloodstained serous fluid samples and sample deposits in this laboratory had been historically undertaken using CytoLyte solution. CytoLyte, valid only for pre-treatment to follow in PreservCyt solution for LBC ThinPrep Papanicolaou (PAP) preparation, visibly appeared to not facilitate air dried preparations as made in this laboratory. (May-Grumwald Giemsa (MGG) preparations were noted by the author to be particularly poor when using CytoLyte). Also this had not previously been validated for intended use by the previous Non-gynaecology staff. It is best practice to make an air-dried slide for MGG staining and a wet fixed slide preparation for PAP staining for serous fluid cytology diagnosis (1).

A simple method of red blood cell removal was required by our laboratory in order that all other cells in the sample remain intact, allowing clarity for visualising diagnostic material, fixed and stained appropriately for MGG and PAP staining prior to assessment, whilst being amenable to cell block Immunohistochemistry.

METHOD

Unused samples and sample deposits are kept refrigerated for 7 days and then checked that they are reported final plus 48 hrs before disposal. Any unused heavily bloodstained samples ready for disposal were used to test the recommended Sigma Red Blood Cell Lysing Buffer (2) for blood removal. As there was no Standard Operating Procedure offered by NEQAS CPT technical update meeting on or after November 2021 and this department already used Versalyse for Flow Cytometry on Diagnostic cytology samples, the IFU for Versalyse was used as a basis for a trial method for the Sigma Lysing Buffer(3).

Deposits were split between; No pre-treatment, current CytoLyte method, Versalyse method and Sigma Lysing Buffer (using the Versalyse IFU). Cytofoam cell blocks were made from the remaining deposit for CytoLyte and Sigma Lysing Buffer to compare with natural clots found in the original samples in order to validate the Immunohistochemistry. We decided this method should NOT be carried out on samples with a single universal.

• <25ml of heavily bloodstained fluids are processed for direct slides made from the buffy layer and left for subsequent cell foam blocks if required.
• Any clots should be removed and processed for histology in the normal manner.

• >50ml - Universals 1 & 2 should be processed for Sigma Lysing solution (Ammonium chloride – below). Tube 3 should be saved as original, untreated sample (with clots taken out).

CONCLUSION

The aim of this trial using Versalyse and Sigma RBC lysing buffers was to find a method that would allow samples that are heavily bloodstained to give excellent morphological preparations for MGG air-dried staining as well as the PAP fixed preparations.
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RESULTS

The cytology slides prepared for MGG staining were much improved in both the Versalyse and Sigma Lysing Buffer treated samples to that of Cytolyt or untreated, whilst excellent staining for PAP remained. The benefits are seen on samples containing scanty malignant cells (Fig 1a and b)

REFERENCES

1. Minimum recommendations for sample preparation and staining Serous fluids, RCPPath Tissue Pathway for Diagnostic Cytopathology, October 2019.
2. UK NEQAS CPT Diagnostic Cytology refresher course 23.11.21 Webinar, Synlab, Taunton.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS: The Senior Blood Science Team at SPS for allowing me to pursue this project, Fred Mayall for agreeing to Validate the results and the Cytology Team for training and taking this process on-board.

RESULTS CONTINUED

The Cytofoam™ cell blocks made from remaining deposit for both Cytolyt and Sigma Lysing Buffer were validated against natural sample clots with a panel of markers – all results appeared in concordance.